

OPEN AGENDA



Ōtorohanga Community Board

Te Poari Hapori o Ōtorohanga

Notice is hereby given that an ordinary meeting of the Ōtorohanga Community Board will be held in the Waikōwhitiwhiti Room (Council Chamber) at Ōtorohanga District Council, 17 Maniapoto Street, Ōtorohanga on **Monday, 5 August 2024 commencing at 4.00pm.**

Tanya Winter, Chief Executive

25 July 2024

Membership

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Chairperson | Upoko | Councillor Katrina Christison | 021 153 0774 |
| Deputy Chairperson | Upoko Tiriwā | Peter Coventry | 027 493 0117 |
| Member | Mema | Kat Brown-Merrin | 021 139 3676 |
| Member | Mema | Jo Butcher | 027 500 8800 |
| Member | Mema | Councillor Steve Hughes | 021 161 8487 |
| Member | Mema | Tori Muller | 021 022 57 427 |

Quorum

A majority of members (including any vacancies).

Role of the Community Board

The Ōtorohanga Community Board (the Board) is a separate entity to Ōtorohanga District Council (the Council). The role of a community board is set out in Section 52 of the Local Government Act 2002 and is summarised below.

1. Represent, and act as an advocate for, the interests of the Ōtorohanga township community.
2. Consider and report on all matters referred to it by the Council, or any matter of interest or concern to the Board.
3. Maintain an overview of services provided by the Council within the Ōtorohanga township community.
4. Prepare an annual submission to the Council for expenditure within the community.
5. Communicate with community organisations and special interest groups within the Ōtorohanga township community.
6. Undertake any other responsibilities that are delegated to it by the Council.

Delegations by Ōtorohanga District Council

The Council is authorised to delegate powers to the Board and has made the following specific delegations to be exercised in accordance with Council policy.

Power to act – Reserve Funds

Full decision-making authority on the use of Reserve Funds in accordance with the Terms of Reference for the following funds:

1. Ōtorohanga General Reserve Fund.
2. Ōtorohanga Community Board Property Development Fund.

Power to act - Discretionary Fund

Full decision-making authority on the use of the Board's discretionary fund in accordance with the Terms of Reference for the Fund.

Power to recommend – Long Term Plan/Annual Plan/Policy issues

Authority to make a submission to the Long Term Plan/Annual Plan process on activities, service levels and expenditure (including capital works priorities) with the Board's area or to make a submission in relation to any policy matter which may have an effect with the Board's area.

Power to recommend – Advocacy/Submission to other agencies

Authority to recommend to the Council on inclusions to submissions/advocacy to external organisations.

Important note for members of the public attending meetings

This meeting will be electronically recorded (audio and video) for the purpose of webcasting to Council's YouTube channel. Every care will be taken to maintain individual's privacy however attendees are advised they may be recorded as part of the general meeting proceedings or if speaking in the public forum.

Public forum

The purpose of the forum is to provide an opportunity at the start of all ordinary public meetings of the Boards, for members of the community to come along and speak to their elected representatives. This reflects the Board's desire to see more public participation in decision making and meeting procedures.

To speak at the Public Forum please use the [online form](#) on our website.

Each speaker will be allocated a maximum of 5 minutes speaking time.

Order of agenda

Opening formalities

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Commencement of meeting Te tīmatanga o te hui | 5 |
| Opening prayer/reflection/words of wisdom Karakia/huritao/whakataukī | 5 |
| Apologies Ngā hōnea | 5 |
| Public forum Hui tūmatanui | 5 |
| Late items Ngā take tōmuri | 5 |
| Declaration of conflict of interest Te whakapuakanga pānga taharua | 6 |
| Confirmation of minutes Te whakaū i ngā meneti | 6 |
| | 1 July 2024 |

Decision reports | Ngā pūrongo whakatau

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 31: Removal of two trees at Ōtorohanga Girl Guide Hall and Ōtorohanga Medical Centre | 14 |
|---|----|

Information only reports | Ngā pūrongo mōhiohio anake

| | |
|-------------|----|
| No reports. | 31 |
|-------------|----|

Public excluded | Take matatapu

| | |
|-------------|----|
| No reports. | 31 |
|-------------|----|

Board projects

| | |
|---|----|
| Project 1: Picnic in the park (project closed) | |
| Project 2: Ōtorohanga hauora and connectivity trail | 31 |
| Project 3: Ōtorohanga kai forest (project closed) | |
| Project 4: Ōtorohanga dog park | 31 |

Order of agenda

Other business | Ētahi atu take

| | |
|------------------------------------|----|
| Board Member updates | 31 |
| Community Board discretionary fund | 31 |
| Resolution register | 31 |

Closing formalities

| | |
|------------------------------------|----|
| Closing prayer Karakia | 32 |
| Meeting closure Katinga o te hui | 32 |

Workshops | Hui awheawhe

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| There are no scheduled workshops. | |
|-----------------------------------|--|

Opening formalities

Commencement of meeting | Te tīmatanga o te hui

The Chairperson will confirm the livestream to YouTube is active then declare the meeting open.

Opening prayer/reflection/words of wisdom | Karakia/huritao/whakataukī

The Chairperson will invite an elected member or a staff member to provide the opening words.

Apologies | Ngā hōnea

An elected member who has not been granted a leave of absence may tender an apology should they be absent for all or part of a meeting. The Board may accept or decline any apologies. For clarification, the acceptance of an elected member's apology constitutes a grant of leave of absence for that specific meeting(s). Should an apology be received, the staff recommendation is below.

That the Ōtorohanga Community Board receive and accept the apology from for ... (non-attendance, late arrival, early departure).

Public forum | Hui tūmatanui

Public forums are designed to enable members of the public to bring matters, not necessarily on the meeting's agenda, to the attention of the local authority. Requests to attend the public forum must be sent to governance@otodc.govt.nz at least two working days before the meeting. Requests should outline the matters that will be addressed by the speaker.

Speakers can speak for up to five (5) minutes. No more than two speakers can speak on behalf of an organisation during a public forum.

At the conclusion of the presentation elected members may ask questions of speakers. Questions are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by a speaker. Following the public forum, no debate or decisions will be made at the meeting on issues raised during the forum unless related to items already on the agenda.

No requests to be heard had been received at the finalisation of this agenda.

Late items | Ngā take tōmuri

Items not on the agenda for the meeting require a resolution under Section 46A of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 stating the reasons why the item was not on the agenda and why it cannot be dealt with at a subsequent meeting on the basis of a full agenda item.

It is important to note that late items can only be dealt with when special circumstances exist and not as a means of avoiding or frustrating the requirements of the Act relating to meeting notice, agendas, agenda format and content. Should a late item be raised, the staff recommendation is shown below.

That the Ōtorohanga Community Board accept the late item relating to (subject) due to ... (special circumstance).

Declaration of conflict of interest | Te whakapuakanga pānga taharua

Members are reminded to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as an elected member and any private or external interest they may have.

A conflict can exist where:

- the interest or relationship means you are biased; and/or
- someone looking in from the outside could have reasonable grounds to think you might be biased.

Should any conflicts be declared the staff recommendation is shown below.

That Ōtorohanga Community Board receive the declaration of a conflict of interest from ... for item ... and direct the conflict to be recorded in Ōtorohanga District Council’s Conflicts of Interest Register.

Confirmation of minutes | Te whakaū i ngā meneti

The unconfirmed minutes of the previous Board meeting are attached on the following page.

Staff recommendation

That the open minutes of the Ōtorohanga Community Board meeting held on 1 July 2024, having been circulated, be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record of that meeting.

OPEN MINUTES



Ōtorohanga Community Board

Te Poari Hapori o Ōtorohanga

Open Minutes of an ordinary meeting of the Ōtorohanga Community Board held in the Waikōwhitiwhiti Room (Council Chamber) at Ōtorohanga District Council, 17 Maniapoto Street, Ōtorohanga on **Monday, 1 July 2024 commencing at 4.00pm.**

Tanya Winter, Chief Executive

5 July 2024

Elected Member attendance register

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Chairperson | Upoko | Councillor Katrina Christison | Attended |
| Deputy Chairperson | Upoko Tiriwā | Peter Coventry | Attended |
| Member | Mema | Kat Brown-Merrin | Attended |
| Member | Mema | Jo Butcher | Attended |
| Member | Mema | Councillor Steve Hughes | Attended |
| Member | Mema | Tori Muller | Attended |

Quorum

A majority of members (including any vacancies).

Senior staff in attendance

| | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Chief Executive | Tanya Winter | Attended |
| Group Manager Business Enablement | Graham Bunn | Apology |
| Group Manager Engineering & Assets | Mark Lewis | Attended |
| Group Manager Regulatory & Growth | Tony Quickfall | Attended |
| Group Manager Strategy & Community | Nardia Gower | Apology |
| Chief Advisor | Ross McNeil | Apology |

Order of Business

Opening formalities

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Commencement of meeting Te tīmatanga o te hui | 4 |
| Apologies Ngā hōnea | 4 |
| Public forum Hui tūmatanui | 4 |
| Late items Ngā take tōmuri | 4 |
| Declaration of conflict of interest Te whakapuakanga pānga taharua | 4 |
| Confirmation of minutes Te whakaū i ngā meneti | 4 June 2024 |
| | 4 |

Decision reports | Ngā pūrongo whakatau

| | |
|-------------|--|
| No reports. | |
|-------------|--|

Information only reports | Ngā pūrongo mōhiohio anake

| | |
|---|---|
| Item 30 - Policy updates - Trading in public places, and compliance and enforcement | 5 |
|---|---|

Public excluded | Take matatapu

| | |
|-------------|--|
| No reports. | |
|-------------|--|

Board projects

| | |
|---|---|
| Project 1: Picnic in the park (project closed) | |
| Project 2: Ōtorohanga hauora and connectivity trail | 6 |
| Project 3: Ōtorohanga kai forest (project closed) | |
| Project 4: Ōtorohanga dog park | 6 |

Other business | Ētahi atu take

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Board Member updates | 6 |
|----------------------|---|

Order of Business

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Community Board discretionary fund | 6 |
| Resolution register | 6 |

Closing formalities

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Closing prayer Karakia | 6 |
| Meeting closure Katinga o te hui | 7 |

Workshops | Hui awheawhe

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Concept Plans priority projects | 7 |
|---------------------------------|---|

Opening formalities

Commencement of meeting | Te tīmatanga o te hui

Chairperson Christison declared the meeting open at 4.00pm.

Deputy Chairperson Coventry provided the following words of wisdom from GK Chesterton:

- “Do not remove a fence until you know why it was put up in the first place” and
- “There exists in such a case a certain institution or law; let us say, for the sake of simplicity, a fence or gate erected across a road. The more modern type of reformer goes gaily up to it and says, “I don’t see the use of this; let us clear it away.” To which the more intelligent type of reformer will do well to answer: “If you don’t see the use of it, I certainly won’t let you clear it away. Go away and think. Then, when you can come back and tell me that you do see the use of it, I may allow you to destroy it.”

Apologies | Ngā hōnea

There were no apologies received.

Public forum | Hui tūmatanui

No requests to be heard had been received.

Late items | Ngā take tōmuri

There were no late items.

Declaration of conflict of interest | Te whakapuakanga pānga taharua

There were no conflicts declared.

Confirmation of minutes | Te whakaū i ngā meneti

Resolved O77: That the open minutes of the Ōtorohanga Community Board meeting held on 4 June 2024, having been circulated, be taken as read and confirmed as a true and correct record of that meeting.

Board Member Brown-Merrin | Deputy Chairperson Coventry

Decision reports | Ngā pūrongo whakatau

There were no decision reports.

Information only reports | Ngā pūrongo mōhiohio anake

Item 30 - Policy updates - Trading in public places, and compliance and enforcement

ŌDC’s Tony Quickfall outlined the development of the Policy. He referenced ‘trade competition’ and the requirements under the Act. Councillor Hughes queried an objection appeal process and Mr Quickfall stated that he was not aware of any appeal objection rights. He advised that staff worked with applicants on the requirements so declining an application would be rare.

Board Member Brown-Merrin queried trading locations and Mr Quickfall advised the Policy’s intention was to restrict trading on reserves and public spaces. In response to further queries, he advised Council was not formally advised when the New Zealand Transport Agency issued permits for State Highways and written approvals from business owners were not required, apart from Council who administer public spaces. He also spoke on ‘stop/go’ operators such as Mr Whippy, and the difference between Council owned but leased reserves and Council managed reserves.

Board Member Butcher queried if the Ōtorohanga Soccer Club paid for a lease of the Ōtorohanga Domain similar to the Ōtorohanga Netball Club. ŌDC’s Mark Lewis stated he was not aware of any leases granted for the Ōtorohanga Domain.

Ms Brown-Merrin queried events such as the Board organised ‘Picnic in the Park’ and Mr Quickfall advised the event organiser could arrange a master permit then the stall owners would not need individual permits.

In response to a query from Board Member Butcher, Mr Quickfall spoke on a draft Buskers Code of Conduct currently being developed by staff.

In response to a query from Councillor Hughes, Mr Quickfall agreed the wording ‘or earlier if required’ would be added to the review date which is scheduled for two years.

In response to a query from Chairperson Christison, Mr Quickfall advised staff would consider waiving permits on a case-by-case basis for community events.

Public excluded | Take matatapu

There were no reports.

Board projects

Project 1: Picnic in the park (project closed)

Project 2: Ōtorohanga hauora and connectivity trail

There were no updates provided.

Project 3: Ōtorohanga kai forest (project closed)

Project 4: Ōtorohanga dog park

There were no updates provided.

Other business | Ētahi atu take

Board Member updates

There were no updates provided.

Community Board discretionary fund

No funds were allocated.

Resolution register

Removal of the two Oak trees on Council land

ŌDC’s Jared le Fleming (Manager Community Facilities) advised the consultation period had closed with submissions received in support but one submission opposing the removal. A report will be presented to the Board’s August meeting for consideration of the submissions and a final decision.

Resolved O79: That the Ōtorohanga Community Board approve the removal of Resolution O75 from the Register.

Councillor Hughes | Deputy Chairperson Coventry

Closing formalities

Closing prayer | Karakia

Deputy Chairperson Coventry provided the closing prayer.

Meeting closure | Katinga o te hui

Chairperson Christison declared the meeting closed at 4.29pm.

Workshops | Hui awheawhe

Concept Plans priority projects

Tanya Winter spoke to the PowerPoint presentation and sought Board feedback on the priority projects.

Item 31: Removal of two trees at Ōtorohanga Girl Guide Hall and Ōtorohanga Medical Centre

To: Ōtorohanga Community Board

From: Jared le Fleming, Manager Community Facilities

Type: Decision report

Date: 5 August 2024



1 Purpose | Te Kaupapa

- 1.1 To consider submissions received and seek the approval to remove the two oak trees located at the Girl Guide Hall and Ōtorohanga Medical Centre.

2 Executive Summary | Whakarāpopoto Matua

- 2.1 On 6 May 2024, the Ōtorohanga Community Board (ŌCB) passed a resolution to consult with the public on a request to remove two oak trees. Twelve submissions were received. All twelve submissions were received via the online platform. Eleven submissions were in favour of removing the trees with one submission only in favour of removal if there is no other option (submission 12).
- 2.2 Submission 12 asked for further information regarding removal of the trees. Staff emailed the submitter to answer the questions raised with the answers listed below.
 - a Remediation work versus removal. Arborists advised that the cost to carry out a major prune would not be much different to removal. Also pruning and inspections would need to continue for the life of the tree as an ongoing cost. Containing the root system and clearing problem roots would come at a large cost and may cause damage to the tree.
 - b Damage to the Medical Centre drainage was found by plumbers called to investigate a blocked drain. The plumbers found the cause of the blockage to be tree roots that had infiltrated the drain.
- 2.3 The trees are not identified as notable trees within the District Plan but do trigger the requirement under the Urban Tree Policy that trees taller than five meters in height shall be considered by the relevant Community Board who shall determine whether public consultation is required before a final decision is made.

3 Staff recommendation | Tūtohutanga a ngā Kaimahi

That the Ōtorohanga Community Board approve the removal of the two Oak trees located at the Girl Guide Hall and Ōtorohanga Medical Centre.

4 Context | Horopaki

Medical Centre Trees

- 4.1 A request from the Ōtorohanga Medical Centre was received by staff to consider the removal of two large oak trees situated near the Medical Centre buildings due to the trees outgrowing their space and causing ongoing maintenance issues. One of the trees is located at the western end of the building with the other tree located behind the Practise Manager’s office.
- 4.2 Council staff have found that the tree located behind the Practise Manager’s office is not on Council land and is in fact on St Mary’s School land, and therefore Council would not remove this tree.
- 4.3 The tree at the western end of the Medical Centre building was listed on the original resource consent application. Council’s Consultant Planner advised that the tree was volunteered to be retained on the consent application, and no specific conditions relating to the retention of the tree were listed on the planning report and final decision.
- 4.4 Plumbers called to fix a flooding issue for the Medical Centre found tree roots had infiltrated stormwater pipes causing blockages. Leaf litter is also causing issues blocking drains and gutters and causing slip hazards for Medical Centre staff and patients.
- 4.5 Letters from the neighbouring property owners have stated their support of the removal of the tree. The full letters are listed in the attachments.
- 4.6 In the picture below the tree circled in red is the tree located on St Mary’s land and the tree in blue the oak on Council land.



Museum Tree

- 4.7 Council staff have also requested that the large oak tree situated between the Girl Guide Hall and Ōtorohanga Museum buildings also be removed. Plumbers were called to fix a broken stormwater pipe for the Museum and found that the tree’s root system had broken the pipe.

4.8 Upon further investigation of the tree beside the Museum, it was found the root system was beginning to crack the sealed driveway. This tree also drops a lot of leaf litter causing blocked gutters on the Museum and Girl Guide Hall.

4.9 The picture below shows the tree circled in blue.



5 Considerations | Ngā whai whakaarotanga

Significance and engagement

- 5.1 No historical significance was raised through the consultation period.
- 5.2 These trees are not registered as notable trees in the District Plan.

Impacts on Māori

- 5.3 These trees are on Council land and not recorded as having cultural significance.
- 5.4 No cultural significance was raised during the consultation period.

Risk analysis

- 5.5 As this is maintenance work of low value it is considered low risk. If these trees remain in place the risk of damage to Council and Medical Centre infrastructure will continue to increase.

Policy and plans

- 5.6 Removal of these trees aligns with Council policy and the District Plan.

Legal

- 5.7 As these trees are located on Council land there are no legal requirements to be able to remove them.

Financial

5.8 The estimated cost to remove the two trees is \$8,000 which will be funded from the Parks and Reserves Maintenance budget.

6 Discussion | He Kōrerorero

Option 1: Leave the existing trees standing and continue to maintain and trim as needed.

Advantages

6.1 Mature trees would be left standing.

Disadvantages

6.2 The trees are starting to outgrow their space and cause damage to infrastructure.

6.3 Maintenance costs will continue to grow as the trees grow larger.

6.4 The community would feel they have not been listened to following the consultation period, with no significance listed in the one submission against removal.

Option 2: Remove the trees.

Advantages

6.5 The community would feel the consultation process has been successful with eleven of the twelve submissions in favour of removal.

6.6 The large trees would be removed before they grow to a size becoming more labour intensive to maintain.

6.7 Further damage to infrastructure would be prevented following their removal.

6.8 Foliage would no longer be dropped on nearby footpaths, driveway, gutters and into the stormwater system.

Disadvantages

6.9 There will be costs for Council to carry out the work.

6.10 The area would be losing two mature trees.

Recommendation option and rationale

6.11 Option 2 is the recommended option. Following the public consultation there was only one objection. This objection was against removal if no other option was viable. This objection was not due to any historical significance. The option to keep the trees and maintain them will be labour intensive and an ongoing expense. With eleven of the twelve submissions in favour of removal this is the recommended option.

7 Appendices | Ngā ĀpitiHanga

| Appendix # | Name |
|------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Submissions received |

1

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 8:23:30 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

Go for it. It sounds like good tree management to me. Plant two more trees somewhere else. It's a shame you have to ask.

2

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 9:17:30 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

There is a place and time for all Tree's. If they have outgrown their space then they need to be taken out.

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 9:28:42 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

Trees are approximately 30 years old and of no heritage value. The Ōtorohanga Courthouse Building with NZ Heritage status is significantly compromised by (a) leaf and acorn debris on the roof and gutters (b) infiltration of waste water pipes by the tree roots. If left in situ the problem will worsen as this is a tree that will grow to an immense size.

4

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 10:27:52 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

██████

Your email

████████████████████

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

They are trees, cut the ████████ things down and plant a couple trees somewhere else to appease the greenies

5

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 11:13:17 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

No!remove them..

6

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 11:00:15 pm

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

Removal of the tree closest to the Museum will ensure the protection of one of our most historical buildings and the first building of the Museum.

7

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Wednesday, 15 May 2024 11:13:16 pm

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

Not Native so remove them. Also every Monday after 5pm a leaf blower runs trying to tidy the leaves it disturbs the dance classes poor Mel has to yell to give instructions.

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Thursday, 16 May 2024 9:21:57 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

These trees are only going to get worst with the roots, pipes etc, so it's best to cut them down now, it's bad enough that the water and sewage pipes around Otorohanga are breaking and unable to keep up with the demands.....we have had problems in our street and are dreading the houses going into the new subdivision off our street.....with what we call the creek which is open drains when it rains we keep an eye on the levels plus an eye on the neighbours man hole for sewage....so yes cut them down now before it's to late

9

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Thursday, 16 May 2024 9:33:29 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

They need removing

10

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Saturday, 18 May 2024 11:20:35 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

I am in support of removing the trees.

11

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Tuesday, 21 May 2024 8:16:09 am

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

██████████

Your email

██

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

As the owner of 3 and 3A William St we need these trees to be removed as soon as possible. They have caused drain and spouting blockages to our property for many years and we are hoping at long last they are going to be removed. They are also a problem with the fallen leaves being a health hazard with our tenants slipping on them.

From: [comms](#)
To: [Jared Le Fleming](#)
Subject: Anonymous User completed Submission form - removal of two oak trees
Date: Monday, 3 June 2024 8:32:28 pm

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Submission form - removal of two oak trees with the responses below.

Your name

[REDACTED]

Your email

[REDACTED]

Do you have any comments or information about these two trees that we should consider before removing them?

I do not support the removal of mature trees unless there is no other option. I note that the report does not estimate the cost of a severe prune for tree 2. It will certainly be less than removal. I would ask for this information before being able to make a judgement on the financial case. This option would mitigate damage to the Museum roof. Tree 1 is held to be responsible for the damage to the medical centre drainage system. I fail to see how this has been determined. I would like to see the evidence for this assertion. What would be the cost of clearing the drainage system of root encroachment, versus the cost of tree removal? There is another, slightly smaller tree closer to the building and just as close to the underground drain. If tree 1 is removed, there is no reason to prevent a further request to remove this other tree. That represents major aesthetic and ecological impacts on the reserve area. There is inadequate detail on what will be done to replace these trees if they are removed.

Decision reports | Ngā pūrongo whakatau

DISCLAIMER: The reports attached to this Open Agenda set out recommendations and suggested resolutions only. Those recommendations and suggested resolutions DO NOT represent Ōtorohanga District Council policy until such time as they might be adopted by formal resolution. This Open Agenda may be subject to amendment either by the addition or withdrawal of items contained therein.

Information only reports | Ngā pūrongo mōhiohio anake

There are no reports.

Public excluded | Take matatapu

There are no reports.

Board projects

Project 1: Picnic in the park (project closed)

Project 2: Ōtorohanga hauora and connectivity trail

Project 3: Ōtorohanga kai forest (project closed)

Project 4: Ōtorohanga dog park

Other business | Ētahi atu take

Board Member updates

Board members who have attended meetings on behalf of the Ōtorohanga Community Board may give feedback to the Board. This is a discussion item only and no resolutions can be made from the discussion.

Community Board discretionary fund

Any decision to allocate the Board’s funds must be made to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of the Ōtorohanga community in the present and for the future.

| Date of grant | Resolution # | Recipient | Purpose | Amount (excl. GST) |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| | | | | |
| Total granted | | | | \$0 |
| Total remaining | | | | \$5,000 |

Resolution register

Previous resolutions of the Ōtorohanga Community Board which are not yet finalised are outlined below.

| Resolution # | Date resolved | Resolution | Staff update |
|--------------|---------------|---|---|
| O72 | 06/05/24 | <p>That the Ōtorohanga Community Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Approve a three-week public consultation on the removal of the two Oak trees on Council land as shown in point 6.3 of the report; and b. Following the completion of the consultation period, on the provision there are no objections to the removal of the trees, Ōtorohanga Community Board approve the removal the two Oak trees. | <p>A report has been provided separately in this agenda.</p> <p>Staff recommend this Resolution be removed from the Register.</p> |

Staff recommendation

That the Ōtorohanga Community Board approve the removal of Resolution O72 from the Register.

Closing formalities

Closing prayer | Karakia

The Chairperson will invite an elected member or a staff member to provide the closing words.

Meeting closure | Katinga o te hui

The Chairperson will declare the meeting closed.

Workshops | Hui awheawhe

Refer to the Order of Agenda for a list of workshops expected to be held.

Workshops will begin after a short break following the meeting closure. Workshops will not be livestreamed, but members of the public are welcome to attend any workshops that are designated open in the Order of Agenda.